



Working Through Channels

a commentary from
Master Yeshua

Sharon: What makes a person a candidate to be a channel?

Yeshua: Well, first of all this must be something the soul wishes. This you know. The incarnated person must be seeking contact with the soul, seeking to follow through with the soul's objectives. So the initial commitment is at the soul level, for whatever purpose. And as you know, many people – many, many people – are capable of channeling. This is a soul function, like loving.

The incarnated person must be seeking soul contact and contact is a feedback loop between the incarnation and the soul. The soul in pre-birth planning assumes that spiritual seeking can be activated within its incarnated self and once spiritual seeking is activated then that feedback loop can start. If channeling is among the soul's purposes, then that person becomes a channel candidate.

It helps to have channeled before, but that is quite frankly not necessary. Everyone is a first-time channel at some point. What makes the candidate is:

- initially the soul commitment,
- secondarily, and of equal importance, the activated soul-seeking connection.

And then a feedback loop is created.

On Channel Residue

You might consider the term participatory channeling. Most channeling is – ah, I will back up – I would say that *all* channeling is to some degree participatory channeling. The channel brings experiences; the channel brings a lexicon. These experiences and lexicon are in the physical body that we borrow to send the message through. These experiences, these viewpoints, these outlooks, are in the mental body, that we transit to reach the physical body and get our message out.

The more compatible the outlook – as in the mental body, as in the astral body, as in the spiritual body – the more compatible the channel is in these areas, the easier it is to come through with an accurate message. This is a vibrational issue. The compatibility is, let us say, vibrational compatibility. But with this vibrational compatibility, channel residue is unavoidable.

When the channel is vibrationally compatible with the one being channeled, the channel residue can add depth and dimension. The channel residue can also be so thick, dense, strong that the message does become contaminated. Often, channels are able to sense this for themselves. This is a risk the channel takes. And when channels sense this for themselves, the good ones, the reliable ones, discard the entire channeling and try again when circumstances allow a lighter, more acceptable level of residue.

This you will find with any channel. This you will find with any channeled information. Therefore, every client of channeled information must be aware of this possibility. The residue may be negligible, a blank spot in energy, or words – names particularly – that are not brought through. There is always a residue with vocabulary, with lexicon. Bringing unknown words, unknown names, through a channel is challenging. Bringing something totally alien, for instance a word in a foreign language that the channel does not know, this is difficult.

So we rely on information that is stored in the physical brain, in the mental atmosphere, to make things easier. And this is true even with unconscious channels.

This is the risk you take. This is the risk the reader of channeled information takes. And I would challenge the reader, the recipient, to apply their own discernment, to use their own physical-astral-mental-spiritual equipment to assess, and then they are responsible for acting on the results of their assessment.

If any of them take channeled information and think that it is in any way unbiased, uncontaminated, residue-free – if they think that channeled information is residue-free, then I would ask them to think again. The question becomes whether or not the channeled information resonates with actionable truth to them. If that channeled information does resonate with actionable truth, then the reader/client can take it and run with it. That is their decision. Every channel takes the risk. And every channel worth anything, is concerned about delivering contaminated information.

They take the risk and channel anyway. They accept the responsibility. This should not be criticized. On the contrary, channels should be thanked; they should be respected for the risk they are taking. And, as I said, channels who assess on their own and discard channelings when they feel there is heavy residue, these are the ones who become more reliable channels from our perspective.

I think that is sufficient on this issue.

Thank you,
Master Yeshua

13 December, 2010 *

**Master Yeshua / Jeshua is a Master of Wisdom and a member of Earth's Spiritual Hierarchy. He offers these essays as a service to those who are on a personal path of spiritual growth. For more information visit ThoughtsFromAMaster.com. © Sharon K Richards*